

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>David Faulk

<ADDRESS1>5314 Cole Circle

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>Arvada

<STATE>CO

<ZIP>80002

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>Brillo59@comcast.net

<TEXT>I'm retired and can ill afford continuing increares in phone service charges that I need for emergencies. I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

David Faulk  
5314 Cole Circle  
Arvada, Colorado 80002